



**SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND
CRIME PREVENTION ACT OF 2000**

PROPOSITION 36

Annual Report 2002-2003

Alcohol and Drug Program Administration

**County of Los Angeles
Department of Health Services
Public Health**

January 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
-------------------	---

PREFACE	iii
---------	-----

CHAPTER 1: SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND CRIME PREVENTION ACT OF 2000 – PROPOSITION 36

I.	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IMPLEMENTATION PLAN	1
II.	PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION	2
	A. <i>Court Processing</i>	2
	B. <i>Probation Processing</i>	3
	C. <i>Parole Processing</i>	3
	D. <i>Treatment Delivery</i>	4
	E. <i>Data Collection & Reporting</i>	7
	F. <i>Fiscal Plan</i>	7
III.	PROGRAM OVERSIGHT	7
IV.	PROGRAM EVALUATION	9
	A. <i>Statewide SACPA Evaluation</i>	9
	B. <i>SACPA Reporting Information System (SRIS)</i>	10

CHAPTER 2: YEAR TWO IN REVIEW – FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2002-03

I.	DEFENDANT ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS	11
II.	SENTENCED PARTICIPANTS	11
III.	ASSESSMENTS	11
IV.	TREATMENT SERVICES	12
V.	PROPOSITION 36 PROGRAM COMPLETIONS	13
VI.	ACTIVITIES	13

A.	<i>Adding New Programs</i>	13
B.	<i>Reviewing Current Programs</i>	14
C.	<i>Enhancing the Treatment Courts and Probation eXchange (TCPX) Automated Information System</i>	14
D.	<i>Continuing Regional Coordinating Council Meetings</i>	15
E.	<i>Maintaining the Proposition 36 Helpline</i>	15
F.	<i>Participating in Community Assessment Services Center Directors Meeting</i>	16
G.	<i>Educating the Public</i>	16
H.	<i>Maintaining the Website</i>	16

CHAPTER 3: TAKING A LOOK BACK – FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 vs. FISCAL YEAR 2001-02

I.	DEFENDANT ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS	18
II.	SENTENCED PARTICIPANTS	18
III.	ASSESSMENTS	19
IV.	TREATMENT SERVICES	19
V.	PROPOSITION 36 PROGRAM COMPLETIONS	25

CHAPTER 4: LESSONS AND CHALLENGES

I.	LESSONS LEARNED	26
II.	CHALLENGES	
A.	Assuring Quality Services	26
B.	Funding	27

ATTACHMENTS

<i>I.</i>	<i>Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee Proposition 36 Task Force</i>
<i>II.</i>	<i>Proposition 36 Monitoring Courts</i>
<i>III.</i>	<i>Community Assessment Services Centers Directory</i>
<i>IV.</i>	<i>Summary of Treatment, Supervision and Aftercare Services Matrix</i>
<i>V.</i>	<i>Alcohol & Drug Program Administration Proposition 36 Contracted Programs</i>
<i>VI.</i>	<i>Proposition 36 Executive Steering Committee</i>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000, also known as Proposition 36, amended existing drug sentencing laws to require criminal defendants who are convicted of a non-violent drug offense to be placed in drug treatment as a condition of probation, instead of incarceration. Drug treatment is also required for State parolees convicted of a non-violent drug related violation of parole. To cover local costs for treatment programs and other necessary services, Proposition 36 appropriates statewide funding of \$120 million per year through Fiscal Year (FY) 2005-06, with an initial FY 2000-01 appropriation of \$60 million for planning and implementation. Los Angeles County received approximately \$30 million for FY 2002-03 and anticipates similar funding for subsequent fiscal years through FY 2005-06. Statewide implementation of Proposition 36 began on July 1, 2001.

Los Angeles County utilized a coordinated, collaborative approach in implementing Proposition 36, involving the Court, District Attorney's Office, Public Defender's Office, Probation Department, Department of Health Services Alcohol and Drug Program Administration (ADPA), California Department of Corrections/Parole (Parole), and community-based treatment providers. The Board of Supervisors designated the Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee Proposition 36 Implementation Task Force as the advisory group responsible for the development of policies and procedures for the implementation of Proposition 36, and the ADPA as the lead agency for Los Angeles County's Proposition 36 responsibilities.

The second year of Proposition 36 implementation was both exciting and challenging for all stakeholders in Los Angeles County. As anticipated, Proposition 36 programs experienced tremendous growth in terms of participant/case numbers, as well as participation rates. Program expansion was evident in every aspect -- from the Court, to Probation, Parole, and the treatment providers. Collaboration, no longer a cliché, has become part of the Proposition 36 daily regimen.

For FY 2002-03, a total of 9,836 new defendants were convicted and sentenced by the Court or were ordered by Parole to participate in Proposition 36. Of the 9,836 defendants, the Community Assessment Services Centers (CASCs) provided assessment and treatment referral services to 8,048 participants. However, it should be noted that the CASCs actually had 26,869 contacts with Proposition 36 participants during this period because many participants returned to the CASCs approximately 2-3 times during their treatment. Of those new participants assessed by CASCs, 6,379 participants reported to a community-based treatment provider as instructed. Including those participants in treatment at the start of the fiscal year, 10,979 participants received treatment during this time, a 115% increase from the first year. At any given time, approximately 5,000 participants were receiving treatment services in Los Angeles County.

The proportion of Proposition 36 male to female participants (79 percent to 21 percent) is reflective of the criminal justice population. At 40 percent, Hispanic/Latino remained the largest participant group and methamphetamine was still the primary drug of choice. The geographical breakdown for participants from each Service Planning Area (SPA) was similar to last year. In addition to providing quality services to the largest number of Proposition 36 participants in the State of California, Los Angeles County continued its efforts by:

- Expanding services by adding new programs and making funding adjustments to existing programs according to utilization trends

- Continuing Regional Coordinating Council meetings to enhance community involvement and ongoing communication and collaboration with the Proposition 36 stakeholders
- Maintaining the Proposition 36 Helpline to assist all involved Proposition 36 agencies and participants
- Participating in Community Assessment Services Center Directors Meetings
- Educating the public on Proposition 36 and its implementation/operations
- Maintaining the ADPA Proposition 36 Website
- Enhancing the Treatment Courts and Probation eXchange (TCPX) system for data collection and program evaluation

The goals for the coming years are to provide the highest quality of services to Proposition 36 participants, improve participant reporting from Court to assessment to treatment, and work on ensuring long-term funding for services after FY 2005-06.

PREFACE

On November 7, 2000, California voters passed the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000, also known as Proposition 36. The purpose was to enhance public safety by reducing drug-related crime and preserving jail and prison space for violent offenders. Proposition 36 amended existing drug sentencing laws to require that adult criminal defendants who are convicted of possession, use, transportation for personal use, or being under the influence of a controlled substance be placed in drug treatment as a condition of probation, instead of incarceration. Proposition 36 also applies to State parolees who are convicted of non-violent drug offenses or who commit drug-related parole violations. Eligible offenders receive up to one year of drug treatment followed by six months of continuing care services. Vocational training, family counseling, literacy training, health, mental health, and other services are also provided. Proposition 36 allows for the dismissal of charges upon successful completion of treatment.

Proposition 36 became effective on July 1, 2001 and made significant changes in the way many drug offenders are handled by both the criminal justice and treatment delivery systems. Court-supervised treatment, probation and/or parole are now required for offenders as a means to break the cycle of drugs and crime, while still promoting public safety. Proposition 36 specifically does not include persons charged with drug sales or anyone with prior violent felony convictions. Most non-violent offenders or parolees, who are convicted or found in violation of possession or under-the-influence offenses, may now receive treatment in the community, in lieu of incarceration. This represents a significant shift in the handling of this population and provides an opportunity for both the treatment delivery system and the criminal justice system to move toward a more holistic approach of handling substance abuse offenders. Proposition 36 specifically requires that all treatment programs be licensed or certified by the California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (ADP).

This proposition appropriates statewide funding of \$120 million per year through Fiscal Year (FY) 2005-06 to cover the costs for treatment programs and other necessary services. An initial allocation of \$60 million was provided for FY 2000-01 for planning and implementation. Senate Bill (SB) 223¹ allocated \$8.4 million from the federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant funds for the purposes of drug testing. Proposition 36 has no overall sunset date, but the funding appropriated will end at the close of FY 2005-06.

The programmatic data used in this report for FY 2002-03 shows a significant increase from the data presented in the *Proposition 36 Annual Report, 2001-02*. The California ADP provided all counties with new definitions for “Referral,” “Assessment,” “Placement,” “Court Monitoring,” “Supervision,” and “Miscellaneous” in September 2003 and Los Angeles County updated the data using the new definitions. In the past, Los Angeles County used unique individual participant counts for “Referral,” “Assessment,” and “Placement” and the new definitions required each County to report the number of cases. “Referral” now includes re-referral, which allows participants to be counted each time they are referred by the Court. In addition, every time a Proposition 36 participant is formally evaluated at a Community Assessment Services Center, it counts as one “Assessment.” The same principle applies to “Placement.” All three numbers reflect the actual cases as offenders re-enter the Proposition 36 system.

¹ Senate Bill 223 (Chapter 721, Statutes of 2001)

CHAPTER ONE

SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND CRIME PREVENTION ACT OF 2000 – PROPOSITION 36

I. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

The County of Los Angeles has been firmly committed to successfully implementing the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000, more commonly known as Proposition 36, since the initial planning stage. All stakeholders involved in the planning and implementation process pledged to advocate and preserve accountability, flexibility, quality treatment and appropriate supervision, and public safety.

On November 15, 2000, the Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee (CCJCC) established the Proposition 36 Implementation Task Force to develop the planning process for a comprehensive system of care for drug offenders sentenced under the new law. The Task Force was comprised of approximately 60 members representing County and City criminal justice agencies, judicial officers, the Chief Administrative Office, various County Departments including Health Services, Probation, Mental Health, Public Social Services, and various drug treatment provider associations (*Attachment I*).

On February 20, 2001, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles resolved the following:

- Designated the County of Los Angeles Department of Health Services Alcohol and Drug Program Administration (ADPA) as the lead agency for Los Angeles County's Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000 responsibilities;
- Designated the Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee Proposition 36 Implementation Task Force as the advisory group responsible for the development of policy and procedures for the coordinated implementation of the Act among all involved County departments and the Court;
- Assured that the County of Los Angeles shall comply with the provisions of the Act and the California Code of Regulations, Title 9, Division 4, Chapter 2.5; and
- Assured that the County of Los Angeles has established a Proposition 36 trust fund and shall deposit all funds received into that trust fund.

With a County implementation plan approved annually by the California State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs, Los Angeles County received:

- Fiscal Year (FY) 2000-01 - \$15.7 million for initial planning and implementation;

- FY 2001-02 - \$31.2 million for Proposition 36 services and \$2.2 million for drug testing; and
- FY 2002-03 - \$30.3 million for Proposition 36 services and \$2.3 million for drug testing.

The County expects to receive similar funding amounts for subsequent fiscal years through FY 2005-06 when funding for the initiative will sunset.

The Proposition 36 funds are specifically earmarked for Proposition 36 services and must be used by the County to meet the statutory requirements for community-based drug treatment, probation supervision, court monitoring, and other related services.

II. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

The successful implementation and ongoing operation of Proposition 36 in Los Angeles County requires coordinated collaboration from the Court, ADPA, Probation Department, District Attorney's Office, Public Defender's Office, California Department of Corrections/Parole, and community-based treatment providers.

A. *Court Processing*

Following entering of a guilty plea or a finding of guilt at trial, defendants are ordered to one of the designated Proposition 36 Monitoring Courts (*Attachment II*) responsible for sentencing, monitoring treatment progress, and, when necessary, conducting violation hearings to determine whether probation shall be revoked. Due to the restructure of the Superior Court, the number of Proposition 36 Monitoring Courts was reduced from 26 in FY 2001-02 to 20 in FY 2002-03.

Once eligibility is determined, offenders are placed on formal probation and ordered to participate in Proposition 36 treatment services. Many of the Proposition 36 Monitoring Court bench officers are also experienced Drug Court judges. These bench officers have a keen understanding of different levels of treatment, the need to intensify treatment services, the use of drug testing as a therapeutic tool, and the provision of incentives to facilitate recovery. Active and consistent court supervision is essential to the success of the drug treatment services required by Proposition 36.

While Proposition 36 allows the Court to sanction offenders who are not amenable to treatment, successful completion of the treatment program also provides an important incentive. If there have been no violations of probation, all fees and fines are paid, and the Court finds reasonable cause to believe that a participant will not abuse controlled substances in the future, the Court may dismiss the case.

B. Probation Processing

The Pretrial Services Division of the Probation Department assesses Proposition 36 eligibility after the responsible Deputy District Attorney and the defense counsel screen a defendant. The Probation Department conducts a criminal history review to determine whether a defendant must be excluded from participation in Proposition 36 based on prior criminal convictions or concurrent charges.

Following conviction of eligible charges and the offender's willingness to participate in Proposition 36, the offender is ordered by the Court to report to one of the Community Assessment Services Centers (CASCs) for assessment and referral for treatment. Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs) are co-located at the CASCs and provide participants with an orientation as to the terms and conditions of probation, and coordinate the initial provision of treatment and supervision services. Once a participant has been interviewed by both treatment and probation staff at the CASC, he/she is immediately placed into a community-based treatment program. The participant is ordered to return to Court within 30 days for monitoring for compliance with all Court-ordered conditions of probation, and a review of the initial treatment plan.

Probation supervision is transferred from the CASC DPO to a local area office DPO within 60 days. The supervising DPOs obtain information from the treatment providers on the participants' treatment progress, including drug-testing results, attendance at required counseling sessions and meetings, and other necessary information. The DPOs are also responsible for administering quarterly random and observed drug tests. Progress reports are submitted separately by Probation to the Court on a quarterly basis, or as ordered by the Court according to risk assessment and ongoing compliance/non-compliance with set orders. All violations must be reported to the Court by Probation within 72 hours. Based upon the charges, the average length of probation supervision is approximately 36 months, unless the participant's progress in treatment merits early termination and dismissal of his/her case.

C. Parole Processing

During the first year of implementation, the Board of Prison Terms (BPT) was responsible for processing all Proposition 36 eligible parolees for assessment and progress monitoring. Beginning October 1, 2002, the California Department of Corrections (CDC) Parole and Community Services Division (Parole) assumed responsibility for identifying and screening eligible parolees for Proposition 36 treatment programs, making referrals to CASCs, and supervising parolees' treatment progress and compliance while in the community.

Local Parole Agents direct eligible parolees to one of the CASCs for assessment. The parolees are required to bring two documents (*Activity Report* and *Proposition 36 Waiver Form*) when reporting to the assigned CASC.

The treatment providers are required to submit a treatment plan to Parole within 30 days, progress reports on a quarterly basis, and results of positive drug tests within 24 hours of receipt.

Some parolees are also under Probation supervision for committing a new Proposition 36 eligible, non-violent drug offense. These participants are subject to the dual supervision of Parole and Probation regulations. The treatment providers are required to submit a treatment plan to the Court, Parole Agent, and DPO within 30 days and monthly progress reports, or as ordered by the Court. The treatment provider must notify the DPO, Parole Agent, and the Court of a positive drug test within 24 hours of receipt.

D. Treatment Delivery

Assessment and Referrals

Proposition 36 regulations mandate that an array of comprehensive treatment services be available to all Proposition 36 participants. ADPA has provided treatment services through a network of treatment and recovery agencies since the inception of Proposition 36.

The first step of treatment involves the ordering of the offender by the Court or Parole Agent to one of 11 CASCs (*Attachment III*) for an assessment of addiction severity and treatment needs. A professional counselor, utilizing the Addiction Severity Index (ASI), assesses each participant. The ASI is a nationally recognized tool used widely in the addiction treatment field to determine the level of each person’s substance abuse problems and other life situations.

The CASCs providing Proposition 36 assessments and referrals are located in the neighboring areas of the courts with the highest number of drug-related cases.

<u>Service Planning Area (SPA)²</u>	<u>CASC</u>	<u>Location</u>
SPA 1 (Antelope Valley)	Tarzana Treatment Center	Lancaster
SPA 2 (San Fernando Valley)	Tarzana Treatment Center	Tarzana
SPA 3 (San Gabriel Valley)	Prototypes	El Monte
SPA 3 (San Gabriel Valley)	Prototypes	Pasadena
SPA 3 (San Gabriel Valley)	Prototypes	Pomona

² Service Planning Areas, developed by the Children’s Planning Council and approved by the Board of Supervisors in 1993, serve as the basic geographic structure for integrated planning, service coordination, data collection, and information sharing.

SPA 4 (Metro)	Homeless Health Care	Los Angeles
SPA 5 (West)	Didi Hirsch	Culver City
SPA 6 (South)	Integrated Care System	Los Angeles
SPA 7 (Southeast)	California Hispanic Commission	Pico Rivera
SPA 8 (Harbor/Long Beach)	Behavioral Health Services	Gardena
SPA 8 (Harbor/Long Beach)	Behavioral Health Services	Long Beach

Treatment Services

Proposition 36 specifically mandates up to one year of primary treatment services followed by six months of continuing care services. Primary treatment services consist of a three-level system increasing in duration and intensity, depending on the assessed severity of addiction, coupled with the criminal history risk assessment (*Attachment IV*). Those who have a low level of severity may receive outpatient services (including a combination of individual, family, and group counseling sessions), self-help group meetings, and supplemental treatment services (which include literacy training, vocational guidance, mental health services, health services, and transitional housing). Participants assessed at mid to high severity levels may receive more intensive services such as day treatment, residential detoxification, residential treatment, and narcotic replacement therapy, as indicated, in addition to the range of services provided to lower-level participants. Regardless of the treatment level, random and observed drug testing is conducted for all participants.

Continuing care services ordered by the Court, follow the successful completion of the more intensive primary treatment services for participants at all levels. These services include:

- Documented continuation of ancillary services in a continuing care plan that includes monthly progress reports to the Court (copy to Probation and/or Parole) for six months;
- Mandatory attendance at no less than three self-help meetings or support groups per week;
- Voluntary attendance at treatment provider alumni group meetings; and
- One face-to-face group contact per month with the treatment provider to verify client participation.

The Monitoring Court bench officer, treatment provider, DPO and/or Parole Agent work in partnership to encourage the participant’s ongoing involvement in treatment. The treatment plan and level of services may be adjusted based on the participant’s compliance or non-compliance with program requirements. Treatment providers are encouraged to communicate frequently with the Court,

Probation, and/or Parole, and to use these entities as resources to assist with compliance.

During FY 2002-03, ADPA contracted with 97 certified and/or licensed treatment agencies that provided services at 176 sites throughout Los Angeles County (*Attachment V*). On a regular basis, ADPA reviews the utilization rate of all service contracts and makes augmentations accordingly to ensure the maximum utilization of Proposition 36 funding. During their monthly meetings, CASC directors also update ADPA on the difficult-to-place Proposition 36 participants.

Drug Testing

All Proposition 36 participants, regardless of their treatment levels, are required to submit to random and observed drug testing that ranges as follows:

Level I	1 per week
Level II	1 per week
Level III	2 per week (first 8 weeks) 1 per week (9 th week and continuing for the duration of treatment)

While urinalysis is the primary type of drug testing, alternative testing (dip sticks) is also acceptable. Los Angeles County guidelines specifically require that testing be random and observed; all treatment staff must be trained on appropriate protocols and procedures for collection; and the chain of custody for urine samples must be maintained. In addition to drug testing conducted by the treatment providers, the Probation Department also administers quarterly random and observed drug tests.

Proposition 36 funds, by statute, may not be used for the purpose of drug testing. The passage of SB 223 in 2001 provided additional funding for counties to pay for Proposition 36 drug testing. For FY 2002-03, Los Angeles County received approximately \$2.3 million for drug testing through the Substance Abuse Treatment Testing and Accountability (SATTA) Program.

The Board of Supervisors approved a contract for drug testing services with Laboratory Corporation of America (LabCorp) on June 18, 2002. LabCorp is responsible for transporting, analyzing, and reporting drug-testing results to all Proposition 36 treatment providers within a specified time frame.

E. Data Collection and Reporting

The Treatment Court and Probation eXchange (TCPX), a sophisticated information collection, sharing, and transmission system, was implemented in July 2001. The system is a browser-based application designed to support client referrals, treatment operations, and the administrative requirements of Proposition 36. TCPX was specifically designed to support the reporting and statistical needs for the Superior Court, Probation Department, treatment providers, and ADPA for the implementation of Proposition 36.

The system has provided a computerized mechanism via internet/intranet for:

- Establishing electronic referrals from the Court to the Community Assessment Services Centers;
- Recording defendant treatment assessment information and submitting this information electronically to the Court;
- Assigning treatment provider(s) based on participants’ needs;
- Standardizing progress reports and treatment plans;
- Electronically submitting reports to the Court; and
- Providing statistical information.

The system is continuing to expand statistical reporting capabilities and improve its efficiency. Funding for the system is supported through the County’s Proposition 36 allocation.

F. Fiscal Plan

In order to make full use of the funding allocated to Los Angeles County and to allow for the Proposition 36 program to “ramp-up” referrals and participant treatment services, the Proposition 36 Task Force adopted a five-year funding plan, that allocated the funds as indicated below:

Total Projected State Funding for Los Angeles County (January 2001 through June 2006)	\$177,724,237
Projected Allocations:	
ADPA-Contracted Treatment Programs	\$141,619,413 (80%)
ADPA Program Monitoring	\$6,383,731 (4%)
Probation Services	\$22,719,099 (13%)
Court Operations	\$3,545,000 (2%)
Data Collection	\$3,456,994 (2%)

III. PROGRAM OVERSIGHT

The implementation of Proposition 36 required a coordinated and collaborative strategy between the Court, Probation, ADPA, other County agencies, the California Department of Corrections/Parole, community-based treatment providers, and other key stakeholders. The County Board of Supervisors established the CCJCC Proposition 36 Implementation

Task Force as the official advisory group for the coordinated implementation of the program. The Proposition 36 Executive Steering Committee was established by the Task Force as a smaller working group to guide the implementation and ongoing operation of Proposition 36 in Los Angeles County. The Steering Committee meets on an ad-hoc basis and includes representatives from the Court, Public Defender's Office, District Attorney's Office, Probation Department, Sheriff's Department, CCJCC, California Department of Corrections/Parole, ADPA, and representatives of the treatment provider network (*Attachment VI*).

Community input and involvement are critical pieces of the implementation of Proposition 36. ADPA established four Regional Coordinating Councils in February 2002 to identify and address issues of local concern and to ensure communication between the community members and the Executive Steering Committee. Due to the size of Los Angeles County, four separate councils were created to accommodate better participation:

- North/Northeast (Service Planning Areas 1 and 2): Antelope Valley, San Fernando Valley, and Santa Clarita Valley.
- East/Southeast (Service Planning Areas 3 and 7): San Gabriel Valley, Pomona, Santa Fe Springs, and Whittier.
- Central/South (Service Planning Areas 4 and 6): Metropolitan, and South Los Angeles.
- West/South Bay/Long Beach (Service Planning Areas 5 and 8): Long Beach, South Bay, and West Los Angeles.

The purpose of the Regional Coordinating Councils is to:

- Promote coordination, collaboration, and information-sharing among all the involved agencies;
- Enhance community involvement with the agencies;
- Provide a forum for sharing information and requesting direction from the Proposition 36 Executive Steering Committee; and
- Provide information and support to the various agencies as appropriate.

Four Regional Coordinating Councils are convened quarterly by ADPA in collaboration with Regional Court Coordinators. The Councils review and discuss the implementation and operation of Proposition 36 and address issues specific to each local area. The Councils are composed of representatives from the local branches of the Court, Public Defender's Office, District Attorney's Office, Probation, Parole, Community Assessment Services Centers (CASCs), community treatment providers, and interested others. All meetings are open to the public.

IV. PROGRAM EVALUATION

A. *Statewide SACPA Evaluation*

Proposition 36 specifically requires that the California State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs contract with a public university to conduct a long-term, statewide evaluation project aimed at reviewing the effectiveness and financial impact of Proposition 36. The Integrated Substance Abuse Programs of the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA-ISAP) was selected to conduct this evaluation. From the data collected by the counties, the State will issue comprehensive reports evaluating the effectiveness and fiscal impact of the program, including the implementation process, review of incarceration costs and changes in the crime rate, prison and jail construction, and welfare costs. The evaluation covers the period from the implementation of operation on July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2006.

Los Angeles County was selected as one of the ten Focus Counties for the statewide evaluation project. The selection of the Focus Counties was based on the following criteria:

- Mix of urban and rural counties;
- Broad geographic coverage of the state;
- Capabilities for collecting Proposition 36-relevant data; and
- Diversity of implementation strategies.

The scope and terms of collaboration between the Focus Counties and UCLA-ISAP were tailored to each County and designed to serve both the evaluation needs and county-specific purposes. As a Focus County, Los Angeles is responsible for facilitating contact with Proposition 36 participants, assisting UCLA-ISAP in accessing program data, and participating in stakeholder surveys and focus groups.

UCLA-ISAP conducted individual group meetings with each of the 10 Focus Counties during October-December 2002 to gain an in-depth understanding of stakeholders' perspectives on, and experiences with, the first year of Proposition 36 implementation. ADPA identified and recruited a team of focus group participants that represented all stakeholder groups in Los Angeles County.

A report, *Evaluation of the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act 2002 Report*, compiled by UCLA-ISAP was issued in July 2003. The majority of views and recommendations coincided with those voiced by the Los Angeles County focus group participants. Overall, most Focus Counties expressed that implementation was positive and allowed for better communication and collaboration among stakeholder groups, increased funding for treatment services, and created/enhanced automated service reporting and tracking systems. Challenges and concerns focused on future funding and the growing volume of

participants with high levels of need. The Focus Counties also expressed the need for ADP to take the leadership role in clearly defining the reporting terminology of Proposition 36.

As part of the statewide evaluation, UCLA-ISAP plans to conduct phone interviews with approximately 2,000 participants (statewide) 12 months after their initial assessment. Some participants will be chosen to be interviewed in-person and paid for their participation. The majority of the face-to-face interviews (also randomly selected) will be conducted in Los Angeles County due to budgetary constraints and logistics.

Since February 2003, all 11 CASCs have briefed Proposition 36 participants on the statewide evaluation. At the end of the assessment, each participant is provided with an oral and written explanation of the evaluation, along with a postcard containing a toll-free phone number for reporting his/her contact information to UCLA-ISAP. Los Angeles County, as well as the other 57 counties, have been providing UCLA-ISAP with Proposition 36 participant data on a regular basis.

B. Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act Reporting Information System

California State University, Bakersfield was contracted by the California State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs to study the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act Reporting Information System. ADPA staff members participated in the “State Reporting Information System All-County Survey” and face-to-face meetings to provide input and recommendations for enhancing the State system.

CHAPTER TWO

YEAR TWO IN REVIEW – FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2002-03

I. DEFENDANT ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS

During FY 2002-03, the Probation Department's Pretrial Services Division conducted criminal history eligibility checks on 13,709 cases for defendants referred by the Court for Proposition 36 eligibility determinations. These checks involved intensive reviews of numerous automated criminal justice information systems, which determined participant eligibility under the State's legal requirements.

II. SENTENCED PARTICIPANTS

From July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003, a total of 9,836 new offenders (participants) were convicted and sentenced by the Court, or were ordered by the California Department of Corrections/Parole (Parole) to participate in Proposition 36. These participants accounted for 13,640 cases:

- 13,113 cases (96%) sentenced by the Court
- 527 cases (4%) directly referred by Parole to Proposition 36

The majority (70%) of the cases were felonies and the primary conviction charge was possession of a controlled substance.

Among the offenders sentenced by the Court, 386 cases were dual-supervision cases. These were parolees who sustained new arrests, were sentenced by the Court, and were placed on probation while still under Parole supervision.

III. ASSESSMENTS

For FY 2002-03, a total of 9,836 participants were ordered by the Court or Parole to report to one of the 11 Community Assessment Services Centers (CASCs). A total of 8,048 new participants reported as directed, which represented an 82 percent compliance rate. The CASCs actually had 26,869 contacts with Proposition 36 participants to provide such services as assessments, evaluations, re-evaluations, referrals and re-referrals. Many participants returned to the CASCs approximately 2-3 times during their period of treatment. The reasons for these contacts included:

- Assessed for referral to appropriate treatment programs;
- Transferred to outpatient programs following successful completion of residential treatment; or
- Referred to new programs following unsatisfactory termination by previous treatment providers, Court-ordered referrals, and service changes.

IV. TREATMENT SERVICES

During FY 2002-03, community-based treatment providers served a total of 10,979 participants (including those participants active in treatment at the beginning of FY 2002-03), which represented 19,985 treatment cases. At any given time, an average of 5,000 Proposition 36 participants were engaged in treatment services.

Gender

Males	8,677 (79%)
Females	2,302 (21%)

Age

18-20:	488 (4.1%)
21-25:	1,570 (14.3%)
26-30:	1,466 (13.4%)
31-35:	1,768 (16.1%)
36-40:	2,072 (18.9%)
41-45:	1,857 (16.9%)
46-50:	1,076 (9.8%)
51-55:	441 (4.0%)
56-60:	175 (1.6%)
61-65:	80 (0.7%)
Over 65:	26 (0.2%)

Ethnicity/Race

Hispanic/Latino	4,474 (40.8%)
White	3,089 (28.1%)
African American	2,961 (27.0%)
Asian and Pacific Islander	203 (1.8%)
American Indian	80 (0.7%)
Other	172 (1.6%)

Primary Drug of Choice

Methamphetamine	3,692 (33.6%)
Cocaine	2,996 (27.3%)
Crack Cocaine	1,068 (9.7%)
Heroin	774 (7.1%)
Marijuana	713 (6.5%)
Alcohol	664 (6.1%)
Amphetamine	366 (3.3%)
Poly Drug	355 (3.2%)
PCP	195 (1.8%)
Other	156 (1.4%)

Levels of Treatment Services

Level I	4,022 (37%)
Level II	4,654 (42%)
Level III	2,303 (21%)

Residential and Outpatient Treatment Services

Residential	1,334 (12%)
Outpatient	9,645 (88%)

Service Planning Areas

SPA 1 (Antelope Valley)	429 (4%)
SPA 2 (San Fernando Valley)	1,259 (11%)
SPA 3 (San Gabriel Valley)	2,543 (23%)
SPA 4 (Metro)	1,120 (10%)
SPA 5 (West)	407 (4%)
SPA 6 (South)	1,428 (13%)
SPA 7 (Southeast)	1,745 (16%)
SPA 8 (Harbor/Long Beach)	2,048 (19%)

V. PROPOSITION 36 PROGRAM COMPLETIONS

In FY 2002-03, participants who completed the Proposition 36 program were as follows:

- 1,199 participants successfully completed treatment
- 510 participants petitioned the Court and had their cases dismissed.

VI. ACTIVITIES***A. Adding New Programs***

In September 2002, ADPA issued a Request For Proposals (RFP) to expand Proposition 36 outpatient and residential treatment services within each Service Planning Area (SPA) of the County. The purpose of the RFP was to specifically address service gaps for priority populations and geographic areas that were identified since the initial implementation of the Proposition 36 program. The priority populations included: dually diagnosed (co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse); monolingual Spanish-speaking participants; monolingual non-English/non-Spanish speaking participants; women; women with school age children; and homeless persons. Following release of the RFP, three Proposers' Conferences were conducted throughout the County to review the criteria for proposal submission.

In April 2003, the Board of Supervisors approved the award of 29 treatment contracts in the amount of \$7,962,500 for Fiscal Years 2002-03 through 2005-06. These contracts expanded Proposition 36 treatment capacity by adding 17 outpatient and 19 residential sites to serve the above populations.

B. Reviewing Current Programs

The community-based treatment providers responded to the increase of clients and needed services in all modalities for the Proposition 36 program. During FY 2002-03, Proposition 36 treatment providers served a total of 10,979 clients, which was an increase of 115 percent from FY 2001-02. ADPA reviewed the utilization trends of all Proposition 36 services contracts and made adjustments accordingly to ensure the maximum utilization of Proposition 36 treatment resources.

The treatment programs were also reviewed and monitored to ensure compliance with the treatment standards established for participants. These included, in addition to primary treatment services and narcotics replacement therapy, provision of job development training, and literacy and educational services.

C. Enhancing the Treatment Courts and Probation eXchange (TCPX) Automated Information System

The Treatment Courts and Probation eXchange (TCPX) system was developed as a browser-based, real-time application to support the client referral, treatment operational, and administrative requirements of the Proposition 36 program. The system linked community-based treatment providers at over 220 locations and treatment modalities with the local courts, Community Assessment Services Centers, Probation Department, and ADPA, and allowed for the electronic and timely exchange of information.

In FY 2002-03, a number of additions and modifications were made to the TCPX system:

- Ability to “count” the number of treatment days (both outpatient and residential) in compliance with the legal requirements mandated by the initiative;
- Ability to add a parolee identification field to accurately count this population and to record treatment service levels;
- Ability to accurately count the out of county referrals;
- Modify to capture participants with multiple cases; and
- Modify to include appropriate identification numbers for tracking and reporting.

ADPA established connections and conducted trainings for staff at all newly contracted agencies and provided on-going technical assistance to all users. In addition, the system was updated regularly to accurately reflect all Proposition 36 treatment providers along with levels and types of services. This tool aided the CASC staff in making referrals to treatment agencies that were contracted by the County, and met the licensing and certification requirements established by the State.

Security levels were increased to maintain the system's integrity and participant confidentiality, while still allowing for the expansion of viewing features to authorized agencies including the Offices of the Public Defender and District Attorney.

D. Continuing Regional Coordinating Council Meetings

During FY 2002-03, sixteen Regional Coordinating Council meetings were conducted throughout Los Angeles County. Convened by ADPA at various public sites, the average attendance was approximately 75-100 persons per meeting. The meetings allowed for open discussion, and a venue for all key stakeholders and the public to troubleshoot local problem issues that are best resolved by on-going communication. The general feedback from the meetings was very positive and those involved had the opportunity to gain a better understanding of everyone's role in implementing Proposition 36. The meetings also allowed for problematic issues to be forwarded to the Proposition 36 Executive Steering Committee for resolution and the development of countywide policy.

In addition to including the Court, CASCs, Probation, Parole, and Treatment on each agenda for Roundtable Discussion, the Laboratory Corporation of America (LabCorp) was added and allowed enhanced discussion of any testing issues.

E. Maintaining the Proposition 36 Helpline

During FY 2002-03, the Proposition 36 Helpline established by ADPA received 700 calls³. Ninety-four percent of the calls were initiated by ADPA-contracted treatment providers, three percent were Proposition 36 participants, two percent were bench officers, and one percent were out-of-county calls. Among the calls made by providers, the nature of inquiries was as follows:

- 23% drug testing
- 19% treatment services matrix
- 11% Treatment Courts and Probation eXchange (TCPX)-related policies/procedures
- 8% 180-day maximum residential days

3 This number does not include many calls made directly to other ADPA divisions (Finance Division, Information System Division, Planning Division, and Program Development & Technical Assistance Division).

- 6% Community Assessment Services Centers
- 33% other Proposition 36-related issues

F. Participating in Community Assessment Services Center Directors Meetings

ADPA staff participated in the monthly directors meetings of the Community Assessment Services Centers (CASCs). These meetings allowed the CASCs to share information regarding assessments, workload, and other relevant issues related to Proposition 36 participants. Information was also provided on hard-to-place clients and those with special needs. Issues regarding the CASCs and requests for policy clarifications were shared with the Proposition 36 Task Force Executive Steering Committee and with the Regional Coordinating Councils.

G. Educating the Public

ADPA staff members and representatives of the Task Force Executive Steering Committee participated in numerous conferences and meetings, as well as a Town Hall to enhance the public's understanding of Proposition 36 and its implementation in Los Angeles County. These included: Prototypes Healing Our Village Conference 2003; California Association of Pretrial Services 2003 Annual Meeting; Problems of Addiction in Labor and Management (PALM) meeting; and California Criminal Justice Consortium and Mobilization for the Human Family Town Hall meeting.

On September 30, 2002, the Proposition 36 Implementation Task Force conducted an annual meeting to review and discuss the first year of implementation. The Task Force also approved the *Proposition 36 Annual Report 2001-2002* for submission to the Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee and the Board of Supervisors.

H. Maintaining the Website

The ADPA Proposition 36 Website (www.lapublichealth.org/adpa) provided updated information about the on-going implementation of Proposition 36. This website posted updated calendars of the Regional Coordinating Councils, agendas and discussion notes. The Annual County Plan, Proposition 36 reports, and general information were also posted and updated as appropriate. The website provided Proposition 36-related updates to all stakeholders, including County personnel, ADPA providers, and participants, as well as non-ADPA affiliated organizations and individuals seeking a better understanding of Proposition 36 and its implementation and operation.

CHAPTER THREE

TAKING A LOOK BACK – FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 vs. FISCAL YEAR 2001-02


As anticipated, participants, cases, and services increased during the second year of implementation. Noted below are first and second year comparisons.

COMPARISON DATA “AT A GLANCE”

	<u>FY 02-03</u>	<u>FY 01-02</u>
Sentenced by Superior Court	8,925	8,889
Referrals Directly from Board of Prison Terms	527	46
Referrals from Out-of-County	384	320
Total Sentenced	9,836	9,255
Declined Participation	1,271	1,737
No Show/Bench Warrant Issued	453	229
Dismissals	5	19
Deferred Entry of Judgement	13	40
Drug Court	10	29
Pending Court Action	811	1,098
Subtotal	2,563	3,152
Sentenced Participants from Previous Fiscal Year	775	0
Appeared for Assessment	8,048	6,103
No Show/Bench Warrant Issued	232	81
Pending Arrival to Treatment Facility	348	32
Rejected & Re-Referred to CASC	296	277
Referred to Out of County	204	67
Referred to Veterans Administration	43	8
Referred to Private Paid Facility	111	10
Referred to Mental Health	12	1
Specialty Services Required	10	0
Not Amenable to Treatment - Referred Back to Court	46	14
Declined Participation – Program Terminated by Court	367	501
Subtotal	1,669	991
Treatment Placement	6,379	5,112
Participants who Received Treatment during FY (Includes active participants at start of FY)	10,979	5,112


I. DEFENDANT ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2002-03, the Probation Department conducted criminal history checks on 13,709 cases for defendants who were referred by the Court for Proposition 36 eligibility. This was a 14 percent increase from the 11,997 cases in FY 2001-02.




II. SENTENCED PARTICIPANTS

In FY 2002-03, a total of 9,836 new defendants (participants) were convicted and sentenced by the Court or were ordered by the Department of Corrections/Parole to participate in Proposition 36. This was a 6 percent increase from the 9,255 sentenced participants in FY 2001-02.




III. ASSESSMENTS

Of the above 9,836 defendants convicted in FY 2002-03, the Community Assessment Services Centers (CASCs) provided assessment and treatment referral services to 8,048 Proposition 36 participants ordered by either the Court or Parole, which resulted in 26,869 actual contacts. In FY 2001-02, CASCs provided assessment and treatment services for 6,103 participants and had 11,424 contacts. Participants increased by 32 percent and assessments conducted increased by 135 percent.



IV. TREATMENT SERVICES

Of the 8,048 new participants assessed, 6,379 reported to a community-based treatment provider as ordered, a 25 percent increase from the participants reporting in the previous fiscal year. Actual services provided reflected a significant increase during FY 2002-03 as Proposition 36 treatment providers served a total of 10,979 participants (including those participants active in treatment at the beginning of FY 2002-03) – an increase of 115 percent.



Gender


While the number of Proposition 36 participants receiving treatment services increased by 115%, the gender percentages remained the same.

	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
Male	8,677 (79%)	4,014 (79%)
Female	2,302 (21%)	1,098 (21%)

Age Groups

The ages of the participants remained basically the same between the two fiscal years, with slight increases/decreases noted for the younger and older age groups.



	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
18-20:	488 (4.1 %)	224 (4.7 %)
21-25:	1,570 (14.3 %)	680 (13.3 %)
26-30:	1,466 (13.4 %)	650 (12.7 %)
31-35:	1,768 (16.1 %)	872 (17.0 %)
36-40:	2,072 (18.9 %)	963 (18.8 %)
41-45:	1,857 (16.9 %)	867 (16.9 %)
46-50:	1,076 (9.8 %)	517 (10.1 %)
51-55:	441 (4.0 %)	209 (4.0 %)
56-60:	175 (1.6 %)	77 (1.5 %)
61-65:	80 (0.7 %)	39 (0.7 %)
Over 65:	26 (0.2 %)	14 (0.3 %)



Ethnicity/Race

While the percentage changes were very slight, there was an increase of Hispanic/Latino participants and a decrease of White and African American participants.

	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
Hispanic/Latino	4,474 (40.8 %)	1,957 (38.3 %)
White	3,089 (28.1 %)	1,489 (29.1 %)
African American	2,961 (27.0 %)	1,453 (28.4 %)
Asian/Pacific Islander	203 (1.8 %)	96 (1.9 %)
American Indian	80 (0.7 %)	34 (0.7 %)
Other	172 (1.6 %)	83 (1.6 %)




Primary Drug of Choice

Cocaine, heroin, marijuana and alcohol showed slight decreases, while increases were noted for methamphetamine and crack.

	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
Methamphetamine	3,692 (33.6 %)	1,527 (29.9 %)
Cocaine	2,996 (27.3 %)	1,491 (29.2 %)
Crack Cocaine	1,068 (9.7 %)	400 (7.8 %)
Heroin	774 (7.1 %)	370 (7.2 %)
Marijuana	713 (6.5 %)	365 (7.1 %)
Alcohol	664 (6.1 %)	452 (8.8 %)
Amphetamine	366 (3.3 %)	222 (4.3 %)
Poly Drug	355 (3.2 %)	115 (2.3 %)
PCP	195 (1.8 %)	79 (1.6 %)
Other	156 (1.4 %)	91 (1.8 %)



Primary Drug of Choice



Levels of Treatment Services

The percentage of participants in Levels II reflected a slight increase in FY 2002-03.


	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
Level I	4,022 (37%)	1,926 (38%)
Level II	4,654 (42%)	2,057 (40%)
Level III	2,303 (21%)	1,129 (22%)



Residential and Outpatient Treatment Services

Though the number of participants increased significantly, the percentages of those in residential and outpatient treatment services reflected little change. Narcotic replacement therapy services were offered as a treatment modality, however less than 1 percent of the participants received these services.

	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
Residential	1,334 (12%)	661 (13%)
Outpatient	9,645 (88%)	4,451 (87%)




Service Planning Areas

The highest percentage of Proposition 36 participants were assessed and received treatment services in the San Gabriel Valley.

	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
SPA 1 (Antelope Valley)	429 (4%)	222 (4%)
SPA 2 (San Fernando Valley)	1,259 (11%)	563 (11%)
SPA 3 (San Gabriel Valley)	2,543 (23%)	1,185 (23%)
SPA 4 (Metro)	1,120 (10%)	481 (9%)
SPA 5 (West)	407 (4%)	170 (3%)
SPA 6 (South)	1,428 (13%)	721 (14%)
SPA 7 (Southeast)	1,745 (16%)	758 (15%)
SPA 8 (Harbor/Long Beach)	2,048 (19%)	1,012 (20%)


Service Planning Areas



Levels of Conviction

	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
Felony	7,146 (65%)	3,600 (70%)
Misdemeanor	3,833 (35%)	1,512 (30%)

Levels of Conviction




Supervision (Probation vs. Parole)

	<u>FY 2002-03</u>	<u>FY 2001-02</u>
Probationers	10,452 (95%)	5,066 (99%)
Parolees	527 (5%)	46 (1%)

- The parolees noted above were those referred directly from the Board of Prison Terms and the Department of Corrections. The probation participants include defendants under dual supervision (Probation and Parole).
- In October 2002, the Department of Corrections – Parole Division assumed direct supervision and referral responsibility for parolees. Eligible parolees were ordered by their local Parole Agent to report to a CASC for assessment and referral to a treatment program.

V. PROPOSITION 36 PROGRAM COMPLETIONS

- In FY 2002-03, 1,199 Proposition 36 participants successfully completed treatment and were discharged by their treatment providers. This represented an increase of 140 percent, compared to 500 participants for FY 2001-02.
- As of June 30, 2003, 510 participants completed treatment and had their cases dismissed by the Court. This represented an increase of 750 percent from the 60 case dismissals as of June 30, 2002.



CHAPTER FOUR

LESSONS AND CHALLENGES

I. LESSONS LEARNED

There was considerable progress made during the second year of the County's Proposition 36 program. Much of this success is the result of the effective communication among the various community partners involved to discuss and resolve in a timely manner any relevant issues of concern, such as drug testing protocols, expectations of the Court, probation, and treatment providers, out-of-county cases, etc.

Also critical were the consistent monitoring of Proposition 36 services and development of policies that ensure the needs of participants were met, quality services were maintained, and program budgets did not exceed established amounts. Treatment providers were required to practice good case management and conduct regular utilization reviews to ensure that participants were transitioned through the various phases of the treatment program toward recovery.

Potential barriers that participants may encounter while going from Court to assessment to treatment were reviewed by the CASC Directors, treatment providers, and the Steering Committee. The ideal is to move the participants through each step and begin treatment as quickly and efficiently as possible. Any delays in scheduling appointments or locating an appropriate treatment program for a participant can discourage him/her with the process. Where appropriate, pilot projects and innovative strategies will be implemented to reduce barriers, improve the process, and to increase participation.

II. CHALLENGES

A. *Assuring Quality Services*

Of primary concern is the provision of quality services to all participants in treatment. ADPA has conducted numerous in-service trainings for providers and interested others in dealing with problematic participants, those with special needs (dual problems of addiction and mental illness), and special populations, including women. Also of top priority is the recruitment of qualified, experienced counselors to best meet the needs of the County's growing and diverse populations. ADPA is committed to providing culturally and linguistically appropriate services to County residents.

B. Funding

The Proposition 36 treatment mandate continues even though specific funding for services ends as of June 30, 2006, unless such funds are allocated in the State budget, or approved by the voters. In this time of fiscal uncertainty and changes in the State government, concerns are being raised about both current and future resources to comply with the statutory requirements for Proposition 36 treatment services and to continue drug testing. While funds were preserved for FY 2003-04, overall treatment funding has been reduced. This severely impacts local treatment services for all participants including Proposition 36 who are a portion of the clientele. Decreased funds could reduce the availability of overall services, especially those provided by smaller community-based treatment agencies that are least able to sustain funding cuts.

The Proposition 36 Task Force is committed to working with the Board of Supervisors and local legislators to address future resources and on-going funding beyond 2006.

While review and data collection for Proposition 36 are on-going, the first two years of implementation in Los Angeles County confirmed the effectiveness of communication and collaboration between all of the involved agencies and stakeholders and attested to a strong relationship between the criminal justice and treatment communities.

PROPOSITION 36 IMPLEMENTATION TASK FORCE

Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee

Roster **2002-03**

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

LUNA, Ana Maria, CHAIR
Judge

TYNAN, Michael
Judge

DIAZ, Rudolph
Judge

ANDREWS, Deborah
Judge

DESHAZER, Ellen
Judge

MARCUS, Stephen A.
Judge

RODRIQUEZ, Jose A.
Commissioner

SMERLING, Terry
Judge

WHITE, Elizabeth
Judge

JAUREGUI, Theresa
Staff Attorney

GOODMAN, Allan J.
Judge

LOPEZ, Daniel
Judge

BLOCK, Mitchell
Commissioner

DIFRANK, Loren
Commissioner

PETERS, Anthony
Commissioner

ROSENBERG, Gerald
Judge

SOTELO, David
Commissioner

CICHY, Susan
Central Administrator, Criminal Courts

SANDERS, Renee
Inglewood Drug Court Project Manager

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

OGAWA, Patrick
Director

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

BUNN, Sharon
Senior Assistant Administrative Officer

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

RUBIN, Lael R.
Deputy District Attorney

ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

CHEW, Robyn
Deputy Alternate Public Defender

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

SCADUTO, Al, CO-CHAIR
Acting Chief

LA COUNTY POLICE CHIEF'S ASSOCIATION

BUTLER, Paul
Chief, Glendora Police Department

DEPT OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES

FORMAN, Adine
Chief of State Government Relations

MENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT

LOMAS, Elaine, LCSW
District Chief

COUNTY COUNSEL

TRASK, Gordon W.
Deputy County Counsel

PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE

JUDGE, Michael P.
Public Defender

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

DAVIES, David M.
Chief, Adult Field Services Bureau

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

PANNELL, Willie
Commander

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

PEDROSIAN, Nal
Regional Parole Officer, Region 3

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES

GARCIA, Sandra
Program Director, Supportive Services

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

NAIMO, John
Chief, Accounting Division

INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

KRUEGER, John
Division Manager,
Information Systems Support Division

INDIGENT CRIMINAL DEFENSE APPOINTMENTS

DREYFUSS, Cathy
Directing Attorney, Los Angeles County Bar
Association

GLENDALE CITY ATTORNEY

HOWARD, Scott H.
City Attorney

INGLEWOOD CITY ATTORNEY

DICKERSON, Charles E.
City Attorney

LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY

JEFFRIES, Dan F.
Assistant Supervising Attorney, Hill Street

REDONDO BEACH CITY ATTORNEY

GODDARD, Jerry
City Attorney

TORRANCE CITY ATTORNEY

ACCIANI, Robert
Chief Deputy City Attorney

**NARCOTICS AND DANGEROUS DRUGS
COMMISSION**

GENTILE, Lawrence
Commissioner

BURBANK CITY ATTORNEY

SCOTT, Juli C
Chief Assistant City Attorney

HAWTHORNE CITY ATTORNEY

PREZIOSI, Tarquin
Deputy City Attorney

LONG BEACH CITY PROSECUTOR

REEVES, Thomas
City Prosecutor

PASADENA CITY PROSECUTOR

FELDMAN, Albert
Deputy City Prosecutor

SANTA MONICA CITY ATTORNEY

HAVILAND, Betty
Chief Deputy City Attorney, Criminal Division

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

RAWSON, Richard, Ph.D.
Associate Director
Integrated Substance Abuse Programs

PROVIDER COALITIONS

African American Alcohol & Drug Council

BRANCH, Cheryl
Chair

California Association of Alcohol and
Drug Program Executives

SENELLA, Albert M.
President, Tarzana Treatment Center

HIV Drug & Alcohol Task Force

CASANOVA, Mark
Co-Chair

CALIFORNIA CAMPAIGN FOR NEW DRUG POLICIES

FRATELLO, Dave
Communications Director

California Association of Addiction Recovery Resources

O'CONNELL, James
CEO, Social Model Recovery Systems, Inc.

California Therapeutic Communities

STANLEY-SALAZAR, Elizabeth
Vice President, Director of Operations
Phoenix House

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROPOSITION 36 MONITORING COURTS
2002-03**

Court/District	Location	Court #	Judicial Officer	Court Clerk	Courtroom Assistant	Telephone #	Fax #
North	Lancaster	Dept. C	Comm. Cathrin DeVoe	Kim Seyler	Carla Hubler	661-949-6533	661-729-3205
North Valley	San Fernando	Div. 130	Comm. Martin Gladstein	Laura Hildalgo	Elaine Early	818-364-2412	818-898-2599
Northwest	Van Nuys	Div. 100	Comm. Michael Duffey	Sharon Schroeder	Dawn Mallow	818-374-2635	818-902-4444
East	West Covina	Div. 6	Comm. Mulville	Angela Andarza	Regina Serrano	626-813-3230	626-813-0217
East	El Monte	Div. 2	Comm. Rodriguez	Cecilia Morales	E. Jimenez	626-575-4134	626-279-2271
East	Pomona	Div. 5	Comm. Peters	Maria Baltierra		909-620-3238	909-622-7902
Northeast	Pasadena	Dept. G	Comm. Serio	Stephanie Jones		626-356-5665	626-397-9173
Northeast	Pasadena	Dept. D	Hon. Terry Smerling	Sharon Rosemont		626-356-5647	626-397-9187
Southeast	Downey	Div.2	Comm. Klein	Tracy Morgan	Debbie Medina	562-803-7012	562-803-4816
Southeast	Huntington Park	Div. 3	Comm. Baird	Julia Gonzalez		323-586-6374	323-584-1508
Southeast	Bellflower	Div. 3	Hon. Leland Tipton	Vicki Ayers		562-804-8041	562-461-1392
Southeast	Whittier	Div. 1	Comm. Mansfield	Bridget Otterman	C. Jennings	562-907-3140	562-693-6042
Central	CCB	Div. 42	Hon. Marcelita Haynes	Carla Thomas/ Stephen Isago	William Adamo/ Letty Garcia	213-974-6037	213-617-0682
Central	CCB	Div. 40	Comm. Melissa Widdifield	Harold Semel/ Yolanda Baltazar Perez-Villalobos	C. Sortillon	213-974-6031	213-217-4936
Central	ELA	Div. 3	Hon. Wm. Sterling	Nelson Contreras		323-780-2005	323-415-8525
South Central	Compton	Div. 5	Hon. Ellen DeShazer	Ron Drabos	K. Duncan	310-603-7137	310-763-0911
South	Long Beach	Dept. 3	Hon. Patrick Madden	Jody Steele	F. DeCastro	562-491-6240	562-436-1713
Southwest	Inglewood	Div. 6	Hon. Deborah Christian	Vikky Johnson	Joy Alailima-Millon	310-419-5115	310-330-8677
Southwest	Torrance	Div. 6	Hon. Dudley Gray II	D. Oura	S. Thomas	310-222-8841	310-783-5114
West	Airport	Div. 146	Hon. Paula Mabrey	Melody Ramirez	B. Davis	310-727-6063	310-727-0697

**LA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION
COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT SERVICES CENTERS (CASC)
PROPOSITION 36 CASC - CONTACT LIST - 6/13/03**

ASSESSMENT LOCATIONS	(SITE #)	SERVICE PLANNING AREA (SPA)	CASC DIRECTOR-CONTACT
Tarzana Treatment Center 44447 North 10 th Street West Lancaster, CA 93534	(1)	1	Terry Nico X4113 – Lynn Duncan X4129 Phone # (661) 726-2630 Fax (661) 952-1172
Tarzana Treatment Center 18646 Oxnard Street Tarzana, CA 91356	(2)	2	Monica Weil Ph.D. X3853 – Cyndi Robertson X2058 Tammi DeMasters X3853 Phone # (818) 996-1051– X3853 Fax (818) 996-1753
Prototypes – San Gabriel Valley 11100 E. Valley Blvd. Suite 116 El Monte, CA 91731	(3)	3	Eva Ramirez Fogg – Georgina Yoshioka Phone # (626) 444-0705 Fax (626) 444-0710
Prototypes – Tri City CMHC 790 E. Bonita Ave. Pomona, CA 91767	(4)		Eva Ramirez Fogg - Lilia Saucedo Phone # (909) 447-3400 Fax (909) 447-3599
Prototypes – Pasadena 2555 Colorado Blvd., Suite 101 Pasadena, CA 91101	(5)		Eva Ramirez Fogg - Diego Gonzalez Phone # (626) 449-2433 Fax (626) 449-2665
Homeless Health Care 2330 Beverly Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90057	(6)	4	Sandy Song – David Murillo Phone (213) 342-3114 Fax (213) 342-3124
Didi Hirsch CMHC 11133 Washington Blvd. Culver City, CA 90230	(7)	5	Holly McCravey – Charles Bullitts or Yvonne Vargus Phone # (310) 895-2339 Fax (310) 895-2395
ICS – LA 5715 S. Broadway Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90037	(8)	6	Iris Leary – Jaysanna Collins Phone # (213) 895-7700 Fax (323) 778-2599
California Hispanic 9033 Washington Blvd. Pico Rivera, CA 90660	(9)	7	Malala Elston – Josie Grigsby Phone # (562) 942-9625 Fax (562) 942-9695
BHS – Gardena 15519 Crenshaw Blvd. Gardena, Ca 90249	(10)	8	Celia Aragon - Lisa Sandoval Phone # (310) 973-2272 Fax (310) 973-7813
BHS - Long Beach 1775 N. Chestnut Ave. Long Beach, CA 90813	(11)		Celia Aragon - Ron Pele Phone # (562) 218-8387 Fax (562) 591-4494
DHS Liaison			Pauline Lopez Phone # (626) 299-4518 Fax (626) 458-6823

**LA County Proposition 36 Toll Free Help Line
1- 888 - 742-7900**

SUMMARY OF TREATMENT, SUPERVISION, and CONTINUING CARE SERVICES MATRIX
(Revised JULY 2, 2002)

LEVEL I

<p>ADMISSION CRITERIA</p>	<p>Probation Risk Level: 0-14 * No prior violent felony or misdemeanor violent convictions</p> <p>Clinical ASI: Low Range * No Special Needs</p>
<p>MINIMUM PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS</p>	<p>Participation in Treatment: <u>At least 120 days (18 weeks) Actual length of time depends upon completion of Treatment Plan goals and objectives.</u> Active participation in continuing care (aftercare) for 6 mo.</p> <p>Tx Drug Tests: (18 wks @ 1/week) Random, observed All positive Drug Tests must be reported to the Court upon receipt of results</p> <p>Treatment: <u>Outpatient:</u> 18 weeks @ 3 hrs/week = 54 hours (min. 2 sessions per wk.) Combination of individual, group, education sessions</p> <p>NA/AA meetings: 36 mtgs @ 2/wk</p> <p>Probation Supervision: 36 months (Optional early termination at court's discretion)</p>
<p>TREATMENT LEVEL ESCALATION MODIFICATION CRITERIA (Non-judicial)</p>	<p>(3) positive Tx drug tests OR (3) missed Tx, sessions, OR (3) missed NA/AA meetings OR any combination of (3) positive test or missed sessions/meetings WITHIN A 30-DAY PERIOD Any positive tests, along with other considerations, can trigger escalation to the next treatment level</p>
<p>TREATMENT LEVEL MODIFICATION PROCEDURES</p>	<p><u>IF probationer fails (3) Tx test OR (3) sessions/meetings OR combination within a 30-day period</u></p> <p>PROVIDER:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contacts DPO w/in 48 hours of latest incident - Conducts mandatory individual session w/probationer w/in 72 hrs. of incident to develop Level II Tx plan - Notify DPO and Court of immediate up – phasing to Level II
<p>PROBATION ROLE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with Provider in monitoring drug testing and Tx compliance - Respond to non-compliance and dirty Tx test reports - Administer minimum quarterly/random PB drug test, increase frequency as necessary - Document and report to court all violations, and/or non-compliance, and/or changes in treatment level
<p>COURT ROLE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Document non-compliance - Monitor hearings as needed or requested by DPO - Review participant contests of movement to higher phase - Review/approve probation recommendation to retain in Level I treatment in lieu of automatic movement to Level II - Retain jurisdiction for 18 months - Review/approve probation recommendation for early termination/expungement - Conduct hearing if positive drug tests or treatment failures occur w/in (2) weeks of program completion
<p>PROVIDER ROLE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide Tx & admin. Tx tests - Monitor compliance and submit all mandatory reports to Probation/Court - Collaborate w/DPO re. Tx & Supervisory needs

LEVEL II

ADMISSION CRITERIA	Probation Risk Level: 15-29 * No prior violent felony convictions Clinical ASI: Mid Range
MINIMUM PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS	Participation in Treatment: <u>At least 224 days (32 weeks) Actual length of time depends upon completion of Treatment Plan goals and objectives.</u> Active participation in continuing care (aftercare) for 6 mo. Tx Drug Test: (32 @ 1/week = 32) Random, observed All positive Drug Tests must be reported to the Court upon receipt of results Treatment: <u>Intensive Outpatient:</u> 32 weeks @ 6 hours/week = 192 hours (Min. 3 sessions per wk.) <u>Intensive Day Care:</u> 24 weeks @ 3 hrs/3 days per wk. = 216 hrs. Combination of individual, group, education sessions NA/AA meetings: 128 meetings (32 wks @ 4/wk) Probation Supervision 36 months (Optional Early termination of Probation at court's discretion)
VIOLATION CRITERIA	(1) positive Probation drug test, OR (3) or more positive Tx drug test, OR (3) or more missed Tx sessions or (3) missed NA/AA meetings OR Combination of (3) positive test or missed sessions/meetings WITHIN A 30-DAY PERIOD Any arrests, absconding, or willful violations of program requirements
	PROVIDER: - Submits violation/non-compliance report w/DPO w/in 48 hours of latest incident DPO: - Files court report and request for violation hearing w/in 72 hrs. COURT - Review/rule on Probation violation recommendation
PROBATION ROLE	- Work with Provider in monitoring drug testing and Tx compliance - Respond to non-compliance and dirty Tx test repts - Random drug test during program - Administer minimum quarterly/random PB drug test, increase frequency as necessary - Document and report to court all violations and/or non-compliance
COURT ROLE	- Document non-compliance - Conduct status hearings as needed or requested by DPO - Review/approve probation recommendation for violation or determine Tx program modifications - Retain jurisdiction for 24 months - Review/approve probation recommendation for early termination/expungement - Conduct hearing if positive drug test or treatment failures occur w/in (2) weeks or program completion
PROVIDER ROLE	- Provide Tx & administer Tx test - Monitor compliance and submit all mandatory reports to Probation/Court - Collaborate w/DPO re. Tx & Supervisory needs

LEVEL III

ADMISSION CRITERIA	Probation Risk Level: 30 + Clinical ASI: High Range
MINIMUM PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS	Participation in Treatment: <u>At least 280 days (40 weeks) Actual length of time depends upon completion of Treatment Plan goals and objectives.</u> Active participation in continuing care (aftercare) for 6 mo. Tx Drug Test: (8 weeks @ 2/weeks = 16) & (32 weeks @ 1/week = 32) Total tests 48 Random, Observed All positive Drug Tests must be reported to the Court upon receipt of results Treatment: <u>Intensive Outpatient:</u> 40 weeks @ 9 hours/week = 360 (min 5 sessions per wk) <u>Intensive Day Care:</u> 24 week @ 3 hrs/3 days per week = 216 hrs. <u>Residential:</u> no less than 30 or more than 180 days Combination of individual, group, education sessions NA/AA meetings: <u>Outpatient:</u> 200 meetings (40 wks @ 5/wks) <u>Day Care:</u> 120 meetings (24 weeks @ 5/wks) <u>Residential:</u> 104 meetings (26 weeks @ 4 wks) Probation Supervision: 36 months (Optional Early termination at court's discretion)
VIOLATION CRITERIA	(1) Positive Probation drug test, OR (3) or more positive Tx drug test, OR (3) or more missed Tx sessions OR (3) missed sessions/meetings OR Combination of (3) positive test or missed sessions/meetings WITHIN A 30-DAY PERIOD Any arrest, absconding, or willful violations of program requirements
VIOLATION PROCEDURES	PROVIDER: - Submits violation/non-compliance report with DPO w/in 48 hours of latest incident DPO: - Files court report and request for violation hearing w/in 72 hrs. COURT: - Review/rule on Probation violation recommendation
PROBATION ROLE	- Work with Provider in monitoring drug testing and Tx compliance - Respond to non-compliance and dirty Tx test reports - Random drug test during program - Administer minimum quarterly/random PB drug tests, increase frequency as necessary - Document and report to court all violations and/or non-compliance
COURT ROLE	- Document non-compliance - Conduct status hearing as needed or requested by DPO - Review/approve probation recommendation for violation or determine Tx program modifications - Retain jurisdiction for 24 months - Review /approve probation recommendation for early termination/expungement - Conduct hearing if positive drug test or treatment failures occur within (2) weeks of program completion
PROVIDER ROLE	- Provide Tx & administer Tx test - Monitor compliance and submit all mandatory reports to Probation/courts - Collaborate w/DPO re. Tx & Supervisory needs

CONTINUING CARE

Continuing care or aftercare, is the last stage of treatment, when the client no longer requires the intensive services offered during primary treatment. Continuing care can occur in a variety of settings, such as periodic outpatient meetings, relapse/recovery groups, self-help groups and halfway houses. Services may include relapse prevention, alumni activities and mentorship programs. Continuing care services shall be supervised follow-up.

In concurrence with the recommendation of the treatment provider, the Court may order participation in continuing care upon the successful completion of primary treatment services. Movement of the client into the continuing care stage shall only be made with the approval of the Court.

Continuing care services for Proposition 36 clients should include the following:

- Documented continuation of ancillary services in a continuing care plan that includes monthly progress reports to the Court (copy to Probation) for six months;
- Mandatory attendance at no less than three (3) 12-step/self-help meetings or support groups per week;
- Voluntary attendance at treatment provider alumni group meetings; and
- One face-to-face group contact per month with treatment provider to verify client participation.

If a Proposition 36 participant is in danger of relapse, the treatment provider shall make a recommendation to the Court to allow the participant to return to primary treatment services.

Upon successful completion of primary treatment and continuing care, the Court in concurrence with the treatment provider's recommendation, may order the treatment phase of Proposition 36 completed.

County of Los Angeles
Alcohol and Drug Program Administration
Proposition 36 Treatment Agencies
As of 06/25/2003

Provider Name	Modality	Address	City	Zip	Phone	Fax	SPA
Aegis Medical Services, Inc.	ONTMS	1825 Thelborn Street	West Covina	91791	(626) 915-3844	(626) 915-3845	3
Aegis Medical Services, Inc.	ONTMS	1322 North Avalon Boulevard	Wilmington	90744	(310) 513-1300	(310) 513-1311	8
Aegis Medical Services, Inc.	ONTMS	14240 East Imperial Highway	La Mirada	90231	(562) 946-1587	(562) 946-5740	5
Aegis Medical Services, Inc.	ONTPDX	1825 Thelborn Street	West Covina	91791	(626) 915-3844	(626) 915-3845	3
Aegis Medical Services, Inc.	ONTPDX	14240 East Imperial Highway	La Mirada	90231	(562) 946-1587	(562) 946-5740	5
Aegis Medical Services, Inc.	ONTPDX	1322 North Avalon Boulevard	Wilmington	90744	(310) 513-1300	(310) 513-1311	8
Alcoholism Center for Women, Inc.	RS	1135 South Alvarado Street	Los Angeles	90006	(213) 381-8500	(213) 381-8529	4
Alcoholism Council of Antelope Valley/NCA	OC	44815 Fig Avenue, Suite 101	Lancaster	93534	(661) 948-5046	(661) 948-5049	1
Alcoholism Council of Antelope Valley/NCA	OC	38345 30th Street East, Suite B-2	Palmdale	93550	(661) 274-1062	(661) 274-1065	1
Alta Med	ONTMS	1701 Zonal Avenue	Los Angeles	90033	(323) 223-6146	(323) 223-6399	4
Alta Med	ONTPDTH	1701 Zonal Avenue	Los Angeles	90033	(323) 223-6146	(323) 223-6399	4
American Asian Pacific Ministries, Inc.	DCH	4022 North Rosemead Boulevard	Rosemead	91770	(626) 287-3475	(626) 287-3485	3
American Asian Pacific Ministries, Inc.	OC	4022 North Rosemead Boulevard	Rosemead	91770	(626) 287-3475	(626) 287-3475	3
American Indian Changing Spirits	RS	2120 Williams Street, Building 1	Long Beach	90810	(562) 388-8118	(562) 388-8117	8
Antelope Valley Rehabilitation Center/High Desert Recovery Service	OC	44900 North 60th Street West	Lancaster	93536	(661) 945-8458	(661) 945-8471	1
Asian American Drug Abuse Program, Inc.	DCH	3838 Martin Luther King Boulevard	Los Angeles	90008	(323) 294-4932	(323) 294-2533	6
Asian American Drug Abuse Program, Inc.	OC	3838 Martin Luther King Boulevard	Los Angeles	90008	(323) 294-4932	(323) 294-2533	6
Asian American Drug Abuse Program, Inc.	RS	5318 South Crenshaw Boulevard	Los Angeles	90043	(323) 293-6284	(323) 295-4075	6
Atlantic Recovery Services	OC	944 Pacific Avenue	Long Beach	90813	(562) 436-3533	(562) 436-0982	8
Atlantic Recovery Services	OC	1100 West Manchester Boulevard	Los Angeles	90044	(323) 789-3365	(323) 789-4741	6
Atlantic Recovery Services	OC	9722 San Antonio Street	South Gate	90280	(323) 564-6925	(323) 563-7497	7
Avalon Carver Community Center	OC	4920 South Avalon Boulevard	Los Angeles	90011	(323) 232-4391	(323) 232-0481	6
Beacon House Association of San Pedro (The)	RS	1003 South Beacon Street	San Pedro	90731	(310) 514-4940	(310) 831-0070	8
Beacon House Association of San Pedro (The)	RS	1012 South Palos Verdes Street	San Pedro	90731	(310) 514-4940	(310) 831-0070	8
Beacon House Association of San Pedro (The)	RS	124 West Eleventh Street	San Pedro	90731	(310) 514-4940	(310) 831-0070	8
Beacon House Association of San Pedro (The)	RS	132 West 10th Street	San Pedro	90731	(310) 514-4940	(310) 831-0070	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	DCH	6838 Sunset Boulevard	Hollywood	90028	(323) 461-3161	(323) 461-5633	4
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	DCH	3421 East Olympic Boulevard	Los Angeles	90023	(323) 262-1786	(323) 262-2659	7
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	DCH	4065 Whittier Boulevard, Suites 202 - 203	Los Angeles	90022	(323) 269-4890	(323) 262-1852	7
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	DCH	1318 North Avalon Boulevard, Suite A	Wilmington	90744	(310) 549-2710	(310) 549-2715	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	DCH	279 West Beach Avenue	Inglewood	90302	(310) 673-5750	(310) 673-1236	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	DCH	15519 South Crenshaw Boulevard, Suite A	Gardena	90249	(310) 679-9031	(310) 679-9034	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	OC	6838 Sunset Boulevard	Hollywood	90028	(323) 461-3161	(323) 461-5633	4
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	OC	3421 East Olympic Boulevard	Los Angeles	90023	(323) 262-1786	(323) 262-2659	7
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	OC	4065 Whittier Boulevard, Suites 202 - 203	Los Angeles	90022	(323) 269-4890	(323) 262-1852	7
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	OC	1318 North Avalon Boulevard, Suite A	Wilmington	90744	(310) 549-2710	(310) 549-2715	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	OC	279 West Beach Avenue	Inglewood	90302	(310) 673-5750	(310) 673-1236	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	OC	15519 South Crenshaw Boulevard, Suite A	Gardena	90249	(310) 679-9031	(310) 679-9034	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	OC	2180 West Valley Boulevard	Pomona	91768	(909) 865-2336	(909) 865-1831	3
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	ONTMS	15519 South Crenshaw Boulevard, Suite A	Gardena	90249	(310) 679-9688	(310) 679-9034	8

County of Los Angeles
Alcohol and Drug Program Administration
Proposition 36 Treatment Agencies
As of 06/25/2003

Provider Name	Modality	Address	City	Zip	Phone	Fax	SPA
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	ONTPD TX	15519 South Crenshaw Boulevard, Suite A	Gardena	90249	(310) 679-9688	(310) 679-9034	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	RDTX	2180 West Valley Boulevard	Pomona	91768	(909) 865-2336	(909) 865-1831	3
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	RDTX	1775 North Chestnut Avenue	Long Beach	90813	(562) 599-8444	(562) 591-6134	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	RS	2180 West Valley Boulevard	Pomona	91768	(909) 865-2336	(909) 865-1831	3
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	RS	12917 Cerise Avenue	Hawthorne	90250	(310) 675-4431	(310) 675-4434	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	RS	2501 West El Segundo Boulevard	Hawthorne	90250	(323) 754-2816	(323) 754-2828	8
Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	RS	1775 North Chestnut Avenue	Long Beach	90813	(562) 599-8444	(562) 591-6134	8
California Drug Consultants, Inc.	DCH	659 East Walnut Street	Pasadena	91101	(626) 844-0410	(626) 844-3135	3
California Drug Consultants, Inc.	DCH	679 East Walnut Street	Pasadena	91101	(626) 844-0410	(626) 844-3135	3
California Drug Consultants, Inc.	OC	659 East Walnut Street	Pasadena	91101	(626) 844-0410	(626) 844-3135	3
California Drug Consultants, Inc.	OC	671 East Walnut Street	Pasadena	91101	(626) 844-0410	(626) 844-3135	3
California Graduate Institute Substance Abuse Program	OC	1145 Gayley Avenue, 3rd Floor	Los Angeles	90024	(310) 208-4240	(310) 208-0684	5
California Hispanic Commission on Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Inc.	OC	13020 Francisquito Avenue	Baldwin Park	91706	(626) 813-0288	(626) 813-0928	3
California Hispanic Commission on Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Inc.	OC	5801 East Beverly Boulevard	Los Angeles	90022	(323) 722-4529	(323) 722-4450	7
California Hispanic Commission on Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Inc.	RS	2436 Wabash Avenue	Los Angeles	90033	(213) 780-8756	(323) 780-0151	4
California Hispanic Commission on Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Inc.	RS	327 North Saint Louis Street	Los Angeles	90033	(323) 261-7810	(323) 261-8555	4
California Hispanic Commission on Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Inc.	RS	530 North Avenue 54	Los Angeles	90042	(323) 254-2433	(323) 256-9258	4
Cambodian Association of America	OC	2501 Atlantic Avenue	Long Beach	90806	(562) 988-1863	(562) 988-1475	8
Canon Human Services, Inc.	OC	9705 South Holmes Avenue	Los Angeles	90002	(323) 249-9097	(323) 249-9121	6
Canon Human Services, Inc.	RS	9705 South Holmes Avenue	Los Angeles	90002	(323) 249-9097	(323) 240-9121	6
Casa de las Amigas	OC	160 North El Molino Avenue	Pasadena	91101	(626) 792-2770	(626) 792-5826	3
Casa de las Amigas	RS	160 North El Molino Avenue	Pasadena	91101	(626) 792-2770	(626) 792-5826	3
Casa de las Amigas	RS	161 North Oak Knoll Avenue	Pasadena	91101	(626) 792-2770	(626) 792-5826	3
Chabad of California, Inc.	RS	5675 West Olympic Boulevard	Los Angeles	90036	(323) 965-1365	(323) 965-0444	4
Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science	OC	9307 South Central Avenue	Los Angeles	90002	(323) 564-6982	(323) 564-5970	6
Children's Institute International	OC	711 South New Hampshire Avenue	Los Angeles	90005	(213) 385-5100	(213) 383-1820	4
City of Compton	OC	404 North Alameda Street	Compton	90221	(310) 605-5693	(310) 639-5260	6
City of Long Beach, A Municipal Corporation	OC	6335 Myrtle Avenue	Long Beach	90805	(562) 570-4500	(562) 570-4529	8
City of Long Beach, A Municipal Corporation	OC	2525 Grand Avenue, Suite 210	Long Beach	90815	(562) 570-4100	(562) 570-4049	8
CLARE Foundation, Inc.	OC	844 Pico Boulevard	Santa Monica	90404	(310) 314-6208	(310) 396-6974	5
CLARE Foundation, Inc.	RS	901 - 907 Pico Boulevard	Santa Monica	90404	(310) 314-6215	(310) 396-6974	5
CLARE Foundation, Inc.	RS	1865 - 1871 9th Street	Santa Monica	90404	(310) 314-6238	(310) 396-6774	5
Clinica Monsenor Oscar A. Romero	OC	2032 Marengo Street	Los Angeles	90033	(323) 780-6336	(323) 266-2549	4
Cri-Help, Inc.	OC	11027 Burbank Boulevard	North Hollywood	91601	(818) 985-8323	(818) 985-4297	2
Cri-Help, Inc.	OC	2010 Lincoln Park Avenue	Los Angeles	90031	(323) 222-1440	(323) 222-1317	4
Cri-Help, Inc.	RS	11027 Burbank Boulevard	North Hollywood	91601	(818) 985-8323	(818) 985-4297	2
Cri-Help, Inc.	RS	2010 Lincoln Park Avenue	Los Angeles	90031	(323) 222-1440	(323) 222-1317	4
Dare U to Care Outreach Ministry	OC	316 West 120th Street	Los Angeles	90061	(323) 756-3208	(323) 418-8480	6
Dare U to Care Outreach Ministry	RS	316 West 120th Street	Los Angeles	90061	(323) 756-3208	(323) 418-8480	6
Didi Hirsch Psychiatric Service	DCH	672 South Lafayette Park Place, Suite 6	Los Angeles	90057	(213) 385-3752	(213) 380-8923	4

County of Los Angeles
Alcohol and Drug Program Administration
Proposition 36 Treatment Agencies
As of 06/25/2003

Provider Name	Modality	Address	City	Zip	Phone	Fax	SPA
Didi Hirsch Psychiatric Service	OC	1600 Main Street, Suite B	Venice	90291	(310) 306-2944	(310) 578-5230	5
Didi Hirsch Psychiatric Service	OC	672 South Lafayette Park Place, Suite 6	Los Angeles	90057	(213) 381-3626/	(213) 380-8923	4
Didi Hirsch Psychiatric Service	RS	11643 Glenoaks Boulevard	Pacoima	91331	(818) 897-2609	(818) 890-7159	2
Do It Now Foundation	OC	7060 Hollywood Boulevard, Suite 201	Hollywood	90028	(323) 465-3784	(323) 465-3899	4
El Proyecto del Barrio	DCH	9140 Van Nuys Boulevard, Suite 211	Panorama City	91402	(818) 895-2206	(818) 895-0824	2
El Proyecto del Barrio	DCH	20800 Sherman Way	Winnetka	91306	(818) 710-5225	(818) 710-5220	2
El Proyecto del Barrio	OC	20800 Sherman Way	Winnetka	91306	(818) 710-5225	(818) 710-5220	2
El Proyecto del Barrio	OC	9140 Van Nuys Boulevard, Suite 211	Panorama City	91402	(818) 895-2206	(818) 894-0824	2
Epidaurus	RS	3745 South Grand Avenue	Los Angeles	90007	(213) 743-9075	(213) 743-9079	6
Family Counseling Services of West San Gabriel Valley	OC	10229 Lower Azusa Road	Temple City	91780	(626) 350-4400	(626) 350-4499	3
Family Services of Long Beach	OC	16704 Clark Avenue	Bellflower	90706	(562) 867-1737	(562) 867-6717	7
Family Services of Long Beach	OC	1043 Pine Avenue	Long Beach	90813	(562) 436-3358	(562) 436-9893	8
FOUND, Inc.	OC	1730 South Vermont Avenue	Los Angeles	90006	(323) 730-9497	(323) 730-9499	4
Fred Brown Recovery Services	RS	270 and 278 West 14th Street	San Pedro	90731	(310) 519-8723	(310) 519-9428	8
Grandview Foundation, Inc.	RS	225 Grandview Street	Pasadena	91103	(626) 797-1124	(626) 398-5984	3
His Sheltering Arms, Inc.	RS	11101 South Main Street	Los Angeles	90061	(323) 755-6646	(323) 755-0275	6
House of Hope Foundation, Inc.	OC	205 West 9th Street	San Pedro	90731	(310) 521-9209	(310) 521-9241	8
House of Hope Foundation, Inc.	RS	235 West 9th Street	San Pedro	90731	(310) 831-9411	(310) 521-9241	8
Independence Community Treatment Clinic	OC	19231 Victory Blvd., #554	Reseda	91335	(818) 776-1755	(818) 776-1657	2
Jewish Family Service of Los Angeles	OC	8846 West Pico Boulevard	Los Angeles	90035	(310) 247-1180	(310) 858-8582	5
Joint Efforts	OC	505 South Pacific Avenue, Suite 205	San Pedro	90731	(310) 831-2358	(310) 831-2356	8
La Clinica Del Pueblo, Inc.	OC	1547 North Avalon Boulevard	Wilmington	90744	(310) 830-0100	(310) 830-0187	8
Little House	RS	9718 Harvard Street	Bellflower	90706	(562) 925-2777	(562) 925-6888	7
Live Again Recovery Home, Inc.	RS	38215 North San Francisquito Canyon Road	Saugus	91390	(661) 270-0020	(661) 270-1341	2
Los Angeles Centers for Alcohol and Drug Abuse	OC	333 South Central Avenue	Los Angeles	90013	(213) 626-6411	(213) 621-4119	4
Los Angeles Centers for Alcohol and Drug Abuse	OC	11015 Bloomfield Avenue	Santa Fe Springs	90670	(562) 906-2676	(562) 906-2681	7
Los Angeles Centers for Alcohol and Drug Abuse	RS	10425 Painter Avenue	Santa Fe Springs	90670	(562) 906-2685	(562) 944-6713	7
Mary-Lind Foundation	RS	360 South Westlake Avenue	Los Angeles	90057	(213) 483-9207	(213) 207-2733	4
Mary-Lind Foundation	RS	4445 Burns Avenue	Los Angeles	90057	(323) 664-8940	(323) 664-1786	4
Matrix Institute on Addictions	OC	12304 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 200	West Los Angeles	90025	(310) 207-4322	(310) 207-6511	5
Matrix Institute on Addictions	OC	19100 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 5	Tarzana	91356	(818) 654-2577	(818) 654-2580	2
Matrix Institute on Addictions	ONTMS	5220 West Washington Boulevard, Suite 101	Los Angeles	90016	(323) 933-9186	(323) 933-7146	6
Matrix Institute on Addictions	ONTPDTX	5220 West Washington Boulevard, Suite 101	Los Angeles	90016	(323) 933-9186	(323) 933-7146	6
MELA Counseling Services Center, Inc.	OC	5240 East Beverly Boulevard	Los Angeles	90022	(323) 728-0100	(323) 728-9218	7
Mid Valley Recovery Services, Inc.	RS	3430 Cogswell Road	El Monte	91732	(626) 453-3400	(626) 453-3410	3
Mid Valley Recovery Services, Inc.	RS	453 South Indiana Street	Los Angeles	90063	(323) 266-7725	(323) 266-4402	7
Mini Twelve Step House, Inc.	OC	200 North Long Beach Boulevard	Compton	90220	(310) 608-1505	(323) 295-6642	6
Mini Twelve Step House, Inc.	RS	303 East 52nd Street	Los Angeles	90011	(323) 232-6228	(323) 295-6642	6
Mini Twelve Step House, Inc.	RS	1145 West 37th Place	Los Angeles	90007	(323) 731-3045	(323) 295-6642	6
MJB Transitional Recovery, Inc.	OC	11152 South Main Street	Los Angeles	90061	(213) 777-2491	(213) 777-0426	6

County of Los Angeles
Alcohol and Drug Program Administration
Proposition 36 Treatment Agencies
As of 06/25/2003

Provider Name	Modality	Address	City	Zip	Phone	Fax	SPA
NCADD - East San Gabriel and Pomona Valleys	OC	401 South Main Street, Suite 110	Pomona	91766	(909) 629-4084	(909) 629-4086	3
NCADD - East San Gabriel and Pomona Valleys	OC	4626 North Grand Avenue	Covina	91724	(626) 331-5316	(626) 332-2219	3
NCADD - Long Beach Area	DCH	3750 Long Beach Boulevard	Long Beach	90807	(562) 426-8262	(562) 426-5283	8
NCADD - Long Beach Area	DCH	830 Atlantic Avenue	Long Beach	90813	(562) 624-9757	(562) 624-8857	8
NCADD - Long Beach Area	OC	3750 Long Beach Boulevard	Long Beach	90807	(562) 426-8262	(562) 426-5283	8
NCADD - Long Beach Area	OC	830 Atlantic Avenue	Long Beach	90813	(562) 624-9724	(562) 624-8857	8
NCADD - Long Beach Area	RS	836 Atlantic Avenue	Long Beach	90813	(562) 432-6807	(562) 435-9253	8
NCADD - Long Beach Area	RS	3750 Long Beach Boulevard	Long Beach	90807	(562) 426-8262	(562) 426-5283	8
NCADD - San Fernando Valley, Inc.	OC	14557 Friar Street, #107	Van Nuys	91411	(818) 997-0414	(818) 997-0851	2
NCADD - San Fernando Valley, Inc.	OC	20655 Soledad Canyon Road, #16	Canyon Country	91321	(661) 299-2888	(661) 299-2887	2
NCADD - South Bay	OC	1334 Post Avenue	Torrance	90501	(310) 328-1460	(310) 328-1964	8
NCADD - South Bay	RS	341 East 6th Street	Long Beach	90802	(562) 435-7350	(562) 432-4532	8
NCADD - South Bay	RS	351 East 6th Street	Long Beach	90802	(562) 435-7350	(562) 432-4532	8
Ness Counseling Center, Inc. (The)	OC	8512 Whitworth Drive	Los Angeles	90035	(310) 360-8512	(310) 360-2510	5
New Directions, Inc.	RS	11301 Wilshire Boulevard, VA Bldg. 257	Los Angeles	90073	(310) 914-4045	(310) 914-5495	5
New Hope Health Service, Inc.	DCH	13325 Hawthorne Boulevard	Hawthorne	90250	(310)676-8030	(310) 676-8113	8
New Hope Health Service, Inc.	OC	13325 Hawthorne Boulevard	Hawthorne	90250	(310)676-8030	(310) 676-8113	8
New Way Foundation, Inc.	RS	207 North Victory Boulevard	Burbank	91502	(818) 842-2700	(818) 842-9416	2
Options - A Child Care and Human Services Agency	OC	560 South San Jose Avenue	Covina	91723	(626) 967-5103	(626) 351-5501	3
Pajo Corporation, The	ONTMS	2080 Century Park East, Suite 1802	Century City	90067	(310) 553-9500	(310) 553-7247	5
Pajo Corporation, The	ONTPDTX	2080 Century Park East, Suite 1802	Century City	90067	(310) 553-9500	(310) 553-7247	5
Palm House, Inc.	RS	2515 East Jefferson Street	Carson	90810	(310) 830-7803	(310) 830-6606	8
Pasadena Council of Alcoholism and Drug Dependency	OC	1245 East Walnut Street, #117	Pasadena	91106	(626) 795-9127	(626) 795-0979	3
Pasadena Recovery Center	OC	1811 North Raymond Avenue	Pasadena	91103	(626) 345-9992	(626) 345-9995	3
Pasadena Recovery Center	RS	1811 North Raymond Avenue	Pasadena	91103	(626) 345-9992	(626) 345-9995	3
People Coordinated Services of Southern California	OC	3021 South Vermont Avenue	Los Angeles	90007	(323) 732-9124	(323) 735-7059	6
People Coordinated Services of Southern California	RS	1319 South Manhattan Place	Los Angeles	90019	(323) 734-1143	(323) 735-7059	4
People Coordinated Services of Southern California	RS	4771 South Main Street	Los Angeles	90037	(323) 233-3342	(323) 735-7059	6
People in Progress, Inc.	RS	8140 Sunland Boulevard	Sun Valley	91352	(818) 768-7494	(818) 768-0687	2
Phoenix Houses of Los Angeles, Inc.	OC	503 Ocean Front Walk	Venice	90291	(310) 392-3070	(310) 392-9068	5
Phoenix Houses of Los Angeles, Inc.	RS	503 Ocean Front Walk	Venice	90291	(310) 392-3070	(310) 392-9068	5
Phoenix Houses of Los Angeles, Inc.	RS	11015 Bloomfield Avenue	Santa Fe Springs	90670	(562) 941-8042	(562) 941-6592	7
Plaza Community Center	OC	4127 Cesar Chavez	Los Angeles	90063	(323) 269-0925	(323) 269-6248	7
Pomona Alcohol and Drug Recovery Center, Inc.	OC	636 South Garey Avenue	Pomona	91766	(909) 622-2273	(909) 622-6334	3
Pomona Community Crisis Center, Inc.	OC	221 North Palomares Street	Pomona	91767	(909) 623-1588	(909) 629-2470	3
Pride Health Services, Inc.	DCH	8904 South Vermont Avenue	Los Angeles	90044	(323) 753-5950	(323) 753-6020	6
Pride Health Services, Inc.	DCH	8619 South Crenshaw Boulevard	Inglewood	90305	(310) 677-9019	(310) 677-9401	8
Pride Health Services, Inc.	OC	8904 South Vermont Avenue	Los Angeles	90044	(323) 753-5950	(323) 753-6020	6
Pride Health Services, Inc.	OC	8619 South Crenshaw Boulevard	Inglewood	90305	(310) 677-9019	(310) 677-9401	8
Principles, Inc.	OC	2623 Foothill Avenue	Pasadena	91107	(626) 564-4240	(626) 577-4250	3

County of Los Angeles
Alcohol and Drug Program Administration
Proposition 36 Treatment Agencies
As of 06/25/2003

Provider Name	Modality	Address	City	Zip	Phone	Fax	SPA
Principles, Inc.	RS	1680 North Fair Oaks Avenue	Pasadena	91109	(626) 798-0884	(626) 798-6970	3
Prototypes	DCH	831 East Arrow Highway	Pomona	91767	(909) 398-4383	(909) 398-0125	3
Prototypes	OC	831 East Arrow Highway	Pomona	91767	(909) 398-4383	(909) 398-0125	3
Prototypes	RS	845 East Arrow Highway	Pomona	91767	(909) 624-1233	(909) 621-5999	3
RAP Community Recovery Services	OC	2055 North Garey Avenue, #2	Pomona	91767	(909) 596-5335	(909) 593-4865	3
Salvation Army	RS	809 East 5th Street	Los Angeles	90013	(213) 626-4786	(213) 626-0717	4
Salvation Army	RS	721 East 5th Street	Los Angeles	90013	(213) 622-5253	(213) 626-0717	4
Santa Anita Family Services	OC	605 South Myrtle Avenue	Monrovia	91016	(626) 359-9358	(626) 358-7647	3
Santa Anita Family Services	OC	716 North Citrus Avenue	Covina	91723	(626) 966-1755	(626) 859-0999	3
Shields for Families Project, Inc. (The)	DCH	1500 Kay Street, Suite 1746	Compton	90221	(310) 898-2450	(310) 898-2452	6
Shields for Families Project, Inc. (The)	DCH	12021 South Wilmington, Lot C	Los Angeles	90059	(310) 668-8260	(310) 668-8309	6
Shields for Families Project, Inc. (The)	OC	12714 South Avalon, Suite 100	Los Angeles	90061	(323) 777-0130	(323) 777-1659	6
Social Model Recovery Systems	OC	510 South Second Avenue	Covina	91723	(626) 974-8122	(626) 974-8198	3
Social Model Recovery Systems	RS	23701 East Fork Road	Azusa	91702	(626) 910-1202	(626) 910-1380	3
South Bay Human Services Coalition	OC	2370 West Carson Street, #136	Torrance	90501	(310) 328-0780	(310) 328-0175	8
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	DCH	8022 Somerset Avenue	Paramount	90723	(562) 272-4004	(562) 272-4309	6
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	OC	11500 Paramount Boulevard	Downey	90241	(562) 923-4545	(562) 862-0918	7
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	OC	11455 Paramount Boulevard	Downey	90241	(562) 622-3979	(562) 562-0918	7
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	RS	757 - 759 Loma Vista Drive	Long Beach	90813	(562) 435-4771	(562) 435-9290	8
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	RS	10511 Mills Avenue	Whittier	90604	(562) 944-7953	(562) 946-4413	7
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	RS	12322 Clearglen Avenue	Whittier	90604	(562) 947-3835	(562) 947-9895	7
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	RS	1755 Freeman Avenue	Long Beach	90804	(562) 986-5525	(562) 494-4268	8
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	RS	11401 Bloomfield Avenue, Suite 209 & 211	Norwalk	90650	(562) 864-7724	(562) 868-5374	7
Special Service for Groups	OC	532 South Vermont Avenue	Los Angeles	90020	(213) 738-3361	(213) 389-4512	4
SPIRITT Family Services, Inc.	OC	11046 East Valley Mall	El Monte	91731	(626) 442-4788	(626) 448-3425	3
SPIRITT Family Services, Inc.	OC	13135 Barton Road	Whittier	90670	(562) 903-7000	(562) 903-7707	7
SPIRITT Family Services, Inc.	OC	147 South 6th Avenue	La Puente	91746	(626) 968-0041	(626) 968-0091	3
SPIRITT Family Services, Inc.	OC	1393 Grand Avenue, Suite A	Glendora	91740	(626) 852-2314	(626) 857-1043	3
Stepping Stones Home	RS	17727 Cypress Street	Covina	91722	(626) 967-2677	(626) 858-4923	3
Stepping Stones Home	RS	823 Cypress Street	Covina	91723	(626) 967-2677	(626) 858-4923	3
Substance Abuse Foundation of Long Beach, Inc.	OC	3125 East 7th Street	Long Beach	90804	(562) 987-5722	(562) 987-4586	8
Substance Abuse Foundation of Long Beach, Inc.	OC	3131-3139 East 7th Street	Long Beach	90804	(562) 987-5722	(562) 987-4586	8
Substance Abuse Foundation of Long Beach, Inc.	RS	3125 East 7th Street	Long Beach	90804	(562) 987-5722	(562) 987-4586	8
Substance Abuse Foundation of Long Beach, Inc.	RS	727-729 Obispo Avenue	Long Beach	90804	(562) 987-5722	(562) 987-4586	8
Sunrise Community Counseling Center	OC	537 South Alvarado Street, 2nd Floor	Los Angeles	90057	(213) 207-2770	(213) 207-2773	4
Tarzana Treatment Center	DCH	44447 North 10th Street West	Lancaster	93534	(661) 726-2630	(661) 726-2635	1
Tarzana Treatment Center	DCH	18646 Oxnard Street	Tarzana	91356	(818) 996-1051	(818) 654-3827	2
Tarzana Treatment Center	DCH	2101 Magnolia Avenue	Long Beach	90806	(562) 218-1868	(562) 591-0346	8
Tarzana Treatment Center	OC	18646 Oxnard Street	Tarzana	91356	(818) 996-1051	(818) 345-3827	2
Tarzana Treatment Center	OC	18549 Roscoe Boulevard	Northridge	91234	(818) 654-3950	(818) 709-6435	2

County of Los Angeles
Alcohol and Drug Program Administration
Proposition 36 Treatment Agencies
As of 06/25/2003

Provider Name	Modality	Address	City	Zip	Phone	Fax	SPA
Tarzana Treatment Center	OC	7101 Baird Avenue	Reseda	91335	(818) 342-5897	(818) 345-6256	2
Tarzana Treatment Center	OC	44447 North 10th Street West	Lancaster	93534	(661) 726-2630	(661) 726-2635	1
Tarzana Treatment Center	OC	2101 Magnolia Avenue	Long Beach	90806	(562)218-1868	(562) 591-0346	8
Tarzana Treatment Center	RDTX	18646 Oxnard Street	Tarzana	91356	(818) 996-1051	(818) 654-3827	2
Tarzana Treatment Center	RS	44447 North 10th Street West	Lancaster	93534	(661) 726-2630	(661) 726-2635	1
Tarzana Treatment Center	RS	18646 Oxnard Street	Tarzana	91356	(818) 996-1051	(818) 654-3827	2
Tarzana Treatment Center	RS	2101 Magnolia Avenue	Long Beach	90806	(562) 218-1868	(562) 591-0346	8
The Palms Residential Care Facility	RS	801 West 70th Street	Los Angeles	90044	(323) 759-0340	(323) 759-0466	6
Total Family Support Clinic	OC	13741 Foothill Boulevard, Suite 230	Sylmar	91342	(818) 833-9789	(818) 833-9790	2
Tri-City Mental Health Center	OC	790 East Bonita Avenue	Pomona	91767	(909) 447-3400	(909) 447-3401	3
Tri-City Mental Health Center	OC	2008 North Garey Avenue	Pomona	91767	(909) 623-6131	(909) 623-3932	3
Twin Town Corporation	OC	6180 Laurel Canyon Boulevard, Suite 275	North Hollywood	91606	(818) 985-0560	(818) 985-7195	2
Twin Town Corporation	OC	2171 Torrance Boulevard	Torrance	90501	(310) 787-1335	(310) 787-1809	8
United American Indian Involvement, Inc.	OC	1614 West Temple Street	Los Angeles	90026	(213) 353-9429	(213) 353-4742	4
URDC Human Services Corporation	DCH	1460 North Lake Avenue, Suite 107	Pasadena	91104	(626) 398-3796	(626) 398-3895	3
URDC Human Services Corporation	OC	1460 North Lake Avenue, Suite 107	Pasadena	91104	(626) 398-3796	(626) 398-3895	3
Van Ness Recovery House	RS	1919 North Beachwood Drive	Los Angeles	90068	(323) 463-4266	(323) 962-6721	4
Verdugo Mental Health Center	OC	225-D North Maryland Avenue	Glendale	91801	(818) 247-8180	(818) 247-6649	2
Volunteers of America of Los Angeles	RS	4969 Sunset Boulevard	Los Angeles	90027	(323) 660-8042	(323) 660-9265	4
Volunteers of America of Los Angeles	RS	515 East 6th Street, 9th Floor	Los Angeles	90021	(213) 627-8002	(213) 622-6831	4
Watts Health Foundation, Inc.	OC	8005 South Figueroa Street	Los Angeles	90003	(323) 778-5290	(323) 752-8031	6
Watts Health Foundation, Inc.	RS	8005 South Figueroa Street	Los Angeles	90003	(323) 778-5290	(323) 752-8031	6

Modality Legend

Modality	Modality description
DCH	Day Care Habilitative Services
DCH (DD)	Day Care Habilitative Services (Dual Diagnosed Services)
OC	Outpatient Counseling
ONTMS	Outpatient Narcotic Treatment Maintenance Services
ONTPDTX	Outpatient Narcotic Treatment Program Detoxification Services
RDTX	Residential Medical Detoxification Services
RS	Residential Services

**PROPOSITION 36 EXECUTIVE STEERING COMMITTEE
Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee**

**Roster
2002-03**

Superior Court

LUNA, Ana Maria, CHAIR
Judge

TYNAN, Michael
Judge

CICHY, Susan
Central Administrator, Criminal
Courts

**Countywide Criminal Justice
Coordination Committee**

SHUTTLEWORTH, Peggy
Executive Director

**Alcohol and Drug Program
Administration**

OGAWA, Patrick
Director

MORRIS LOWE, Carol
Planning Director, Planning Division

HOANG, David
Director, Information Systems
Division

District Attorney's Office

RUBIN, Lael R.
Deputy District Attorney

SPILLANE, John
Director, Region II, Branch & Area
Operations

Public Defender's Office

DEMBY, Michael
Deputy Public Defender

Probation Department

DAVIES, David M.
Chief, Adult Field Services Bureau

Internal Services Department

NEWBLE, Rochelle
Principal Programmer Analyst

CAADPE

SENELLA, Albert M.
President
Tarzana Treatment Center

**Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs
Commission**

GENTILE, Lawrence
President
Behavioral Health Services